## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596 956 CARTER ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ANN I AM 1641 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ANN LAM. (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) X. Christina Huang. Date of Interview: 29 August 2011. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: Personal (copy given to: applicant applicant's representativel No. If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed □101 □112 □102 □103 ☑Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims Identification of prior art discussed: Buechler (6,156,270. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Examiner received authorization from Applicants' attorney to amend claim 5 (see attached examiner's amendment). Examiner stated that the proposed amendment will help distinguish Applicants' invention from the Buechler teachings of providing hydrophobic regions at corners of the capillary. Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment